

During the interview, Applicant's representative (Mr. Steinberg) proposed the amendment to claims by citing "a heater at the exit opening in the downstream face and proximate with the at least one passage in the downstream face...". Examiners and Applicant's representative discussed the position of heater of Ready et al., wherein heater proximate the exit opening in the downstream face, in particular figures 3-4. Applicant's representative suggests that the proposed amendment is distinguished over Ready et al. because the modification of Ready would not give the result of die plate as discussed in paragraph # 3 of the instant application, such as surface melt fracture. It was agreed that the proposed amendment would overcome ready as a 102 reference. Examiners suggested that there are some other art of record to be considered which define the position of the heater at the exit opening.

/D. N. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 1791

/Philip C Tucker/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791